



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10/804,950 | 03/19/2004 | Christine Konradi | 04843/12003 | 8080 |
| 21559 | 7590 | 03/31/2009 | | |
| CLARK & ELBING LLP 101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110 | | | EXAMINER SALMON, KATHERINE D | |
| | | | ART UNIT 1634 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE 03/31/2009 | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentadministrator@clarkelbing.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/804,950

Applicant(s)

KONRADI ET AL.

Examiner

KATHERINE SALMON

Art Unit

1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KATHERINE SALMON.(3) Jeff Kessler.(2) Karen Ebling.(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Seitnik et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed claim limitations to Claim 1 to limit the array to consisting of mitochondrial respiratory chain fragments naturally coded for by a nuclear gene. Discussed the general teachings in the art for the use of nuclear genes versus a combination of nuclear genes and mitochondrial genes.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Juliet C Switzer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

/Katherine Salmon/